

OPTIMAL ADAPTIVE NONPARAMETRIC DENOISING OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL - TIME SIGNAL.

Eugene Ostrovsky

Department of Mathematic, Soniclynx company,
56209, Rosh Haain, Hamelecha street, 22, ISRAEL;
E-mail: eugeny@soniclynx.net

Leonid Sirota

Department of Mathematic, Bar - Ilan University, Ramat Gan, ISRAEL, 52900,
E-mail: sirota@zahav.net.il

ABSTRACT

We construct an adaptive asymptotically optimal in the classical norm of the space $L(2)$ of square integrable functions non - parametrical multidimensional time defined signal regaining (adaptive filtration, noise canceller) on the background noise via multidimensional truncated Legendre expansion and *optimal experience design*.

The two - dimensional case is known as a picture processing, picture analysis or image processing.

We offer a two version of an confidence region building, also adaptive.

Our estimates proposed by us have successfully passed experimental tests on problem by simulate of modeled with the use of pseudo-random numbers as well as on real data (of seismic signals etc.) for which our estimations of the different signals were compared with classical estimates obtained by the kernel or wavelets estimations method. The precision of proposed here estimations is better.

Our adaptive truncation may be used also for the signal and image *compression*.

Key words and phrases: Signal, image or picture processing, optimal adaptive filtration and noise canceler, regression problem, Legendre polynomials, experience design, norm, penalty function, computation complexities, FLT, FFT.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): primary 60G17; secondary 60E07; 60G70.

1. Statement of problem. Let $V(n), n = 16, 17, \dots$ be a sequence of a vector - valued sets (plans of experiences) in the cube $[-1, 1]^d, d = 2, 3, \dots$:

$$V(n) = \{x_i = \vec{x}_i = \vec{x}_i(n), \}, \vec{x}_i \in [-1, 1]^d.$$

At the points $\vec{x}_i$ we observe the unknown signal $f = f(x), x \in [-1, 1]^d$ on the background noise:

$$y(i) = f(\vec{x}_i) + \sigma \xi_i, \tag{1}$$

where the noise $\{\xi_i\}$, errors of measurements, is the sequence of independent (or weakly dependent) centered: $\mathbf{E}\xi_i = 0$ normed: $\mathbf{Var}(\xi_i) = 1$ random variables, $\sigma = \text{const} > 0$ is standard deviation of errors.

Our aim is elaboration of an adaptive asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$ optimal in the $L(2)$ sense signal f retaining $f_n = f_n(\vec{x}) : \Delta^2(n) \stackrel{def}{=}$

$$\mathbf{E} \|f_n(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|^2 = \mathbf{E} \int_{[-1,1]^d} |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow \min_{f_n};$$

$f_n = f_n(x; V(n), \{y(i)\})$ is some measurement, or, in other words, estimation of a signal $f = f(x)$.

We consider in this report only multidimensional case $d \geq 2$. The one - dimensional case is consider in [3]. We notice that there are some essential differences between one - dimensional and multidimensional cases; we will show, for example, that in the multidimensional case we need to use only optimal experience design.

The multidimensional case $d \geq 2$ imply that our signal, more exactly, the function on x , is not necessary to be temporal.

The adaptiveness means that our estimations do not use any apriory information about the estimated function f , for example, information on the its class of smoothness.

On the other words, this problem is called "filtration of a signal on the background phone", "adaptive noise canceller" or "regression problem".

In the one - dimensional case $d = 1$ this problem was considered in many publications ([1] - [5] etc). The case $d = 2$ is known as "picture processing" or equally "image processing".

2. Denotations. Assumptions. Construction of our retaining. Let $\vec{z} = z = \{z_j\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d, z_j \in [-1, 1]$ be a d - dimensional vector,

$$F(\vec{z}) = 2^{-d} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + z_j), \delta(n) = \delta(n, V(n)) =$$

$$\sup_x |G_n(z) - F(z)|, G_n(z) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i < z),$$

where

$$I(\vec{x} < \vec{z}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, d \Rightarrow x_j < z_j,$$

and $I(\vec{x} < \vec{z}) = 0$ in other case.

The value, more exactly, the function $\delta = \delta(n) = \delta(n, V(n))$ is called *discrepancy* of a sequence plans $V(n)$.

We suppose that

$$\delta(n) \leq C(1, d)[\log(n)]^d/n, \quad (2)$$

Note that in the one - dimensional case the condition (2) is satisfied even without the member $\log^2(n)$ if $x_i = -1 + 2i/n$ (the uniform plan); but in general case $d \geq 2$ we need to use, e.g., the Niederreuters sequences (*experience design*)(see [6], p. 183 - 202), for which the condition (2) is satisfied.

It is proved also in [6], p. 251 - 276 that for arbitrary *sequences* of plans $V = V(n)$ its discrepancy satisfies the inequality

$$\delta(n) \geq C(2, d) (\log n)^{d-1}/n.$$

Therefore, the Niederreiter's sequences are quasi - optimal in the sense of minimal asymptotical as $n \rightarrow \infty$ behavior of discrepancy $\delta(n)$.

In comparison, for the uniform d - dimensional plan $\delta(n) \asymp C(3) n^{-1/d}$.

It is well known that for the so - called *random* experience design, i.e. if the vectors $\{\vec{x}_i\}$ are random variables with the uniform distribution in the cube $[-1, 1]^d$,

$$\delta(n) \geq C(3, d) (\log \log n)^{1/2}/\sqrt{n},$$

where $C(3, d)$ are the random constants.

Therefore, the uniform plans and the random plans are not asymptotically optimal.

Note in addition that the Niederreiter's sequences allow us to elaborate the *sequential* estimation of signal $f(\vec{x})$.

Further, we assume that for some $q, Q \in (0, \infty)$

$$\forall u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(|\xi_i| > u) \leq \exp(-(u/Q)^q). \quad (3)$$

The condition (3) is satisfied, e.g., if the errors of measurements $\{\xi_i\}$ have the Gaussian distribution; in this case $q = 2$.

The consistent as $n \rightarrow \infty$ measurement (estimation) $Q(n), q(n)$ and $\gamma(n)$ of the parameters Q, q is described correspondently in [1], [2].

Further, let us denote by $L_m(x)$ the usually *normed* Legendre's polynomial on the set $[-1, 1]$. The Legendre polynomials $P_m(x)$ are given by the well - known Rodrigues formula

$$P_m(x) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} [(x^2 - 1)^m]$$

or, more conveniently for computation, by means of recurrent relation and initial conditions: $P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x, m \geq 1 \Rightarrow$

$$(m+1)P_{m+1}(x) = (2m+1)xP_m(x) - mP_{m-1}(x)$$

with orthogonal property:

$$I(k, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-1}^1 P_m(x)P_k(x)dx = 2/(2m+1), \quad m = k,$$

otherwise $I(k, m) = 0$. We can define $L_k(x) = P_k(x)\sqrt{k+0.5}$ and for the multidimensional index $\vec{k} = k = (k(1), k(2), \dots, k(d)), k(j) = 0, 1, \dots, d$

$$\phi(\vec{k}, \vec{z}) = \prod_{j=1}^d L_{k(j)}(z(j)), \quad \vec{z} = \{z(j), j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

We denote $\nu = 2^{1/d}$ and for $N \in (1, N_d(n))$

$$R(N) = \{\vec{k} : \max_j k(j) \leq N\}, \quad W(N) = R([N]) \setminus R(N).$$

Hereafter $[z]$ will denote the integer part of (positive) variable z .

Since the function (signal) $f = f(x)$ is presumed to be square integrable: $f \in L(2)$, it may be expanded in the $L(2)$ sense as follows:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\vec{k}} c(\vec{k}) \phi(\vec{k}, \vec{z}), \quad \rho(N) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\vec{k} \notin R(N)} \{c(\vec{k})\}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

We suppose (condition γ) that there exists a limit less than 0.5 :

$$\gamma \stackrel{def}{=} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho([N]) / \rho(N) < 1/2, \quad (4)$$

and will write $f \in K(\gamma)$. In the case when $\gamma = 0$ we will write $f \in K(0)$.

The condition γ is satisfied if, e.g., as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\rho(N) \sim C(5) N^{-\beta} S(N), \quad \beta > d, \quad (5),$$

where $S = S(N)$ is slowly varying as $N \rightarrow \infty$ function; the condition $f \in K(0)$ is satisfied if, e.g., as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\rho(N) \sim C(6) \alpha^N, \quad \alpha = \text{const} \in (0, 1). \quad (6)$$

The values $\rho(N) = \rho(f, N)$ are known and well studied in the *approximation theory*. Namely, $\rho(f, N) = E_N^2(f)$, where $E_N(f)$ is the error of the best approximation of f by the algebraic polynomials of each power not exceeding N in the $L(2)$ distance and are closely connected with module of continuity of the form

$$\omega_\psi^m(f, t) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_{h,\psi}^m f\|, \quad \Delta_{h,\psi}^m f(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{l=0}^m (-1)^l m! f(x + (0.5m - l) h \psi(x)) / (l! (m - l)!),$$

$$\psi(x) = (1 - x^2)^{0.5}, \quad f(x + y) = f(\min(x + y, 1)), \quad y > 0; \quad f(x + y) =$$

$$f(\max(x + y, -1)) \quad \text{if } y < 0; \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad h = \vec{h} = (h(1), h(2), \dots, h(d)); \quad |h| = \max_j |h(j)|.$$

For instance, see ([7]), $\rho(f, N) \asymp N^{-2m}$ if and only if

$$\omega_\psi^m(f, t) \asymp t^m |\log(t)|^{0.5}, \quad t \in (0, 0.5].$$

Remark. The condition $\beta > d$ or more general assumption $\gamma < 0.5$ is necessary still in the case $d = 1$ ([1], [2]).

We can estimate the coefficients $c(k)$ as follows:

$$c(n, \vec{k}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n y(i) \phi(\vec{k}, \vec{x}_i).$$

Let us define $N_d(n) = \left\lceil n^{1/(d+1)} (\log n)^{-2d/(d+1)} \right\rceil$, $\tau(N) = \tau(N, n) =$

$$\sum_{\vec{k} \in W(N)} [c(n, \vec{k})]^2, \quad N(n) = \operatorname{argmin}\{\tau(N, n), N \leq N_d(n)\},$$

$$f_n = f_n(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in R(N(n))} c(n, \vec{k}) \phi(\vec{k}, \vec{x}). \quad (7)$$

The function $f_n = f_n(\vec{x})$ represented our adaptive measurement of an unknown signal $f = f(\vec{x})$. It may be proved that our signal measurement f_n is optimal in order as $n \gg 1$ in $L(2)$ norm under conditions (3) and (5) in the minimax sense.

3. Properties of our estimation. Main result. We can obtain after hard calculations alike to [2], [3] that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbf{E} \|f_n - f\|^2 \sim \min_N \left(\rho(N) \cdot [1 - \gamma] + \sigma^2 N^d/n \right);$$

therefore in the case if $\gamma = 0$ our estimation $f_n(\cdot)$ is *asymptotical optimal* in the $L(2)$ sense.

In the case if $\gamma \in (0, 0.5)$ we can modify our estimation (7) in order to obtain optimal measurement of $f(\cdot)$ as follows. Instead the functional τ we introduce its so - called *penalty* modification:

$$\theta(N) = \tau(N) - \gamma(n) \sigma^2(n) N/n \quad (8)$$

and define as a modified, asymptotically optimal in $L(2)$ sense estimation for the function f the function $g_n =$

$$g_n(\vec{x}) = g_n(\vec{x}; V(n), \{y_i\}) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in R(M(n))} c(n, \vec{k}) \phi(\vec{k}, \vec{x}), \quad (9)$$

$$M(n) = \operatorname{argmin}\{\theta(N, n), N \leq N_d(n)\}.$$

Here $\gamma(n)$, $\sigma^2(n)$, $q(n)$, $Q(n)$ etc. are correspondently consistent estimations of parameters γ , σ^2 , q , Q estimation, described in [1], [2].

For instance,

$$\sigma^2(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n [f_n(\vec{x}_i) - y(i)]^2 / (n - N^d + 1). \quad (10)$$

4. Confidence region (c.r.). We want build in this section the c.r. for $f(\cdot)$ in the $L(2)$ sense. As a first approximation we can offer the following approach. With probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the following inequality holds:

$$\|f_n - f\|^2 \leq Q^2(n) \tau(N(n)) / (1 - \gamma(n)).$$

For the more exact c.r. building we proved that $\|f_n - f\|^2 \leq$

$$Q^2(n) \tau(N(n)) / (1 - \gamma(n)) \times \left[1 + C(\gamma) \zeta (\log \log n)^{2/r} / n \right],$$

$r = 2dq(n)/(q(n) + 4d), q(n) \in (0, 2); r = dq(n)/(q(n) + d), q(n) > 2,$
where the non - negative random variable ζ is such that for all positive values $u > 0$

$$\sup_n \mathbf{P}(\zeta > u) \leq \exp(-u^{r/2}) \quad (11)$$

(exponential confidence region).

5. Optimal adaptive denoising in other norms. We can consider instead the $L(2)$ norm also some more strong norms, (in order to improve the sensitivity of our method,) for example, $L(p)$ norm or the uniform norm $L(\infty)$ in the space of continuous functions $C[-1, 1]$ etc.:

$$\Delta_p(h_n, f) = \|h_n - f\|_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E} \left[\int_{[-1, 1]^d} |h_n(x) - f(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p};$$

$$\Delta_\infty(h_n, f) = \mathbf{E} \sup_{x \in [-1, 1]^d} |h_n(x) - f(x)| = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_p(h_n, f),$$

where $h_n(\cdot)$ is some estimation (measurement) of signal $f(\cdot)$.

But for consistent and optimal measurement in these spaces we need to use the so - called Vallee - Poissin improvement of $g_n(\cdot)$. Namely, let us denote

$$|\vec{k}| = |k| = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,d} |k(j)|, \quad m_\infty = m_\infty(n) = N[n/\log(n)]$$

in the case $p = \infty$ and

$$m_p = m_p(n) = N(n)$$

in the case $p < \infty$.

We define the *Vallee - Poissin modified* coefficients

$$d(\vec{k}, n) = d(k, n) = d_p(k, n) = c(k, n), |k| < m_p;$$

$$d_p(k, n) = c(k, n)(\nu N(n) - |k|)/(\nu N(n) - m_p(n)), |k| \in [m_p(n), \nu N].$$

As the estimation $h_n(\cdot) = h_n^{(p)}(\cdot) = h^{(p)}(\cdot)$ of a signal $f(\cdot)$ we offer the following improvement of the estimation g_n :

$$h_n^{(p)}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in R(\nu N(n))} d_p(n, \vec{k}) \phi(\vec{k}, \vec{x}).$$

This estimation $h_n(\cdot) = h_n^{(p)}(\cdot)$ of a signal f is optimal in order as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in each space $L(p)$, $p \in (2, \infty]$ norms.

For the simple building of confidence region in the $L(p)$ norms we proved also that as with probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|h_n^{(p)} - f\|_p \leq C_7(p, q(n), \gamma(n)) Q(n) \tau(m_p(n))/(1 - \gamma(n)), \quad p < \infty,$$

and

$$\|h_n^{(\infty)} - f\|_\infty \leq C_8(q(n), \gamma(n)) \tau(m_\infty(n)) Q(n)/(1 - \gamma(n)).$$

6. Proofs. Notice that the complete mathematical proof of our assertions used the modern *martingale theory*, for instance the exponential bounds for tails of distribution in the Law of Iterated Logarithm (LIL) for martingales, as in the one - dimensional case considered in [3]; *theory approximation* [12] and *theory of Banach spaces of random variables* [13] etc.

Our proof is alike to the proofs in one - dimensional case [3]; we must explain only briefly some new essential moments.

A. Let us denote

$$A(n, N) = \rho(N) + \sigma^2 N^d / n, \quad A(n) = \min_{N=1,2,\dots} A(n, N);$$

$$B(n, N) = \sum_{k \in W(N)} (c(k))^2 + \sigma^2 N^d / n \sim \rho(N) (1 - \gamma) + \sigma^2 N^d / n;$$

$$B(n) = \min_N B(n, N) = \min_{N \leq N_d(n)} B(n, N);$$

$$N^0 = N^0(n) = \operatorname{argmin}_{N=1,2,\dots} B(n, N) = \operatorname{argmin}_{N \leq N_d(n)} B(n, N).$$

It follows from the condition (γ) that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$A(n, N) \asymp B(n, N), \quad A(n) \asymp B(n),$$

and, by virtue of condition (γ)

$$N^0 \asymp \operatorname{argmin}_{N=1,2,\dots} A(n, N) \asymp \operatorname{argmin}_{N \leq N_d(n)} A(n, N).$$

The value $(A(n))^{1/2}$ is asymptotical optimal in $L(2)$ sense as $n \rightarrow \infty$ speed of convergence of an arbitrary, i.e. not necessary to be adaptive, estimations of the function $f(\cdot)$ [14].

B. We can write further:

$$c(k, n) \sim c(k) + n^{-1/2} \theta_k(n) + \eta(k, n),$$

where the deterministic variables

$$\eta(k, n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\vec{x}_i) \phi(\vec{x}_i) - c(k)$$

are errors of Fourier - Legendre coefficients $\{c(\vec{k})\}$ numerical computing by means of plan (set) $V(n)$ with equal weights.

We obtain after the $d -$ times integration by parts using the known properties of Legendres polynomials and the condition (γ) :

$$\eta(k, n) = \int_{[-1,1]^d} f(x) \phi(k, x) d(G_n(x) - F(x));$$

$$|\eta(k, n)| \leq C(\gamma, d) \sup_x |G_n(x) - F(x)| (|k|^d + 1) =$$

$$C(\gamma, d) \delta(n, V(n)) (|k|^d + 1);$$

$$\Sigma_\eta^2 \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k \in R(\nu, N)} |\eta(k, n)|^2 \leq C(\gamma, d) \log^{2d}(n) N^{2d+1} n^{-2}.$$

Since the value $N(n)$ belong to the segment $(1, N_d(n))$, we conclude after simple computations that the sum Σ_η^2 not exceeded the value $C N^d/n \leq B(n, N) \sim \tau(n, N)$.

C. We have:

$$\theta_k(n) = \sigma n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \phi_k(x_i).$$

It follows from the multidimensional CLT that the variables $\{\theta_k(n)\}$ for all the values $k = \vec{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ are asymptotically Gaussian distributed and independent:

$$\mathbf{Var}[\theta_k(n)] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 L_k^2(x_i) \rightarrow \sigma^2 \int_{[-1,1]^d} \phi_k^2(x) dx = \sigma^2;$$

$$\mathbf{E}\theta_k(n)\theta_l(n) = \sigma^2 n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_k(x_i)\phi_l(x_i) \rightarrow \sigma^2 \int_{[-1,1]^d} \phi_k(x)\phi_l(x) dx = 0, \quad k \neq l.$$

Following, the variables $\{\theta_k(n)\}$ are asymptotically independent and have approximately the normal distribution:

$$Law(c(k, n)) \asymp N(c(k), \sigma^2/n),$$

or equally

$$c(k, n) = c(k) + \sigma \epsilon_k / \sqrt{n}, \quad Law(\epsilon_k) \asymp N(0, 1)$$

and also $\{\epsilon_k\}$ are asymptotically independent. Therefore, $\tau(n, N) \asymp$

$$\sum_{k \in W(N)} |c(k)|^2 + 2 n^{-1/2} \sigma \sum_{k \in W(N)} c_k \epsilon_k + \sigma^2 n^{-1} \sum_{k \in W(N)} \epsilon_k^2 =$$

$$\sum_{k \in W(N)} |c(k)|^2 + 2 n^{-1/2} \sigma \sum_{k \in W(N)} c_k \epsilon_k + \sigma^2 n^{-1} N^d + \sigma^2 n^{-1} \sum_{k \in W(N)} (\epsilon_k^2 - 1);$$

$$\mathbf{E}\tau(n, N) \asymp B(n, N), \quad \mathbf{Var}[\tau(n, N)] \asymp B(n, N)/n,$$

and hence

$$N \rightarrow \infty, N/n \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}[\tau(n, N)]}/\mathbf{E}\tau(n, N) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the conditions $\gamma < 1/2$ and (2) was used and is essential which is common in statistical research.

D. It follows from our considerations that there are some grounds to conclude

$$\tau(n, N) \stackrel{a.s}{\asymp} \mathbf{E}\tau(n, N) \asymp A(n, N),$$

thus,

$$N(n) = \operatorname{argmin}_{N \leq [n^{1/d}/3]} \tau(n, N) \sim \operatorname{argmin}_{N \leq [n^{1/d}/3]} \mathbf{E}\tau(n, N) = N^0(n).$$

Since the our adaptive value (random!) of amount summands $N(n)$ is near to the optimal value $N^0(n)$, (not adaptive,) our estimation (measurement) is also optimal.

More exactly, we can write as a first approximation without the members $\{\eta(k, n)\}$ calculations: $c(k, n) = c_k +$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \phi(k, x_i); \quad (c(k, n))^2 = c^2(k) + \\ & \sigma^2 n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^2(k, x_i) + 2 \sigma n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c(k) \xi_i \phi(k, x_i) + \\ & \sigma^2 n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^2 - 1) \phi^2(k, x_i) + \\ & 2 \sigma^2 n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \xi_i \xi_j \phi(k, x_i) \phi(k, x_j). \end{aligned}$$

We have for the variables $\tau(n, N)$ (and further for the variables $\Delta^2 = \Delta^2(n, N) = \|\hat{f} - f\|^2$) : $\tau(n, N) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{k \in W(N)} c_k^2 + \sigma^2 n^{-1} \sum_{k \in W(N)} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^2(k, x_i) \right] + \\ & 2 \sigma n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \sum_{k \in W(N)} c(k) \phi(k, x_i) + \tau_2, \\ & \tau_2 = \sigma^2 \left[n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^2 - 1) \sum_{k \in W(N)} \phi^2(k, x_i) \right] + \\ & \sigma^2 \left[2 n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \xi_i \xi_j \sum_{k \in W(N)} \phi(k, x_i) \phi(k, x_j) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sequences of a view $\eta_1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b(i) \xi(i)$,

$$\eta_2(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b(i) (\xi_i^2 - 1)$$

and

$$\eta_3(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b(i, j) \xi_i \xi_j,$$

where $\{b(i)\}, \{b(i, j)\}$ are a non-random sequences, with the second component $F(n) = \sigma(\{\xi_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, i.e. $\{\eta_s(n), F(n)\}, s = 1, 2, 3; \{F(n), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is the natural sequence (flow) of sigma-algebras (filtration), are martingales.

Using the main result of paper [15], devoted to the Law of Iterated Logarithm for martingales, and repeating the considerations of the article [3] about the one - dimensional case, we obtain desired.

7. An example. Suppose for some constants $\beta > 0.5$, $K \in (0, \infty)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\rho(N) \sim K^{d+2\beta} N^{-2\beta} / (2\beta).$$

Then we have for the estimation $g_n(\cdot)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$: $\mathbf{E} \|g_n - f\|^2 \sim$

$$K^d n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \sigma^{4\beta/(d+2\beta)} \times d^{2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \left[\frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{1}{d} \right]. \quad (12)$$

Thus, the rate of convergence $g_n \rightarrow f$ in the $L(2)$ sense is optimal ([2]).

Note that by construction of our estimations we do not use the (unknown, as usually) parameters K, β (adaptiveness).

Notice in conclusion that the estimates proposed by us have successfully passed experimental tests on problem by simulate of modeled with the use of pseudo-random numbers as well as on real data (of seismic signals etc.) for which our estimations of the different signals f were compared with classical estimates obtained by the kernel or wavelets estimations method. The precision of proposed here estimations is better.

8. The computation complexities. The amount $AM(n)$ of an elementary operation and square roots calculations of offered algorithm, *if we will use the so - called Fast Legendre Transform (FLT)* [8] is equal to

$$AM(n) \asymp (C(d) n \log_2 n)^d.$$

Recall (see [9]) that the amount of these operations by using the classical Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), even in the $d -$ dimensional case is equal to $C(d) n \log_2 n$.

The advantage of our estimations in comparison to the trigonometric estimations [2] is especially in the case when the estimating function $f(\cdot)$ is not periodical: $f(-1, -1, \dots, -1) \neq f(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

9. Detection of signal. We can to use our adaptive c.r. for construction a test for *presence (detection)* of a signal. Namely, let us consider the following statement of hypothesis verification problem: $H_0 = \{f = 0\}$ (the absence of signal) versus alternative $H_1 = \{f \neq 0\}$ (the presence of the signal).

As long as the hypothesis H_0 may be reformulated as $H_0 = \{\|f\|^2 = 0\}$ and the counterhypothesis has a view $H_1 = \{\|f\|^2 > 0\}$, we can offer the following test.

Let δ , $\delta \in (0, 1/3)$ be some small number, for example, 0.05 or 0.01 etc., such that the value δ is allowed level of a first kind:

$$\mathbf{P}(H_1/H_0) \leq \delta. \quad (13)$$

Our test ϕ may be defined as follows: $\phi = 1$ if and only if

$$\|f_n\|^2 \geq K(\delta),$$

and $\phi = 0$ in other case.

Here $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the number of our solution: we conclude H_1 in the case if $\phi = 1$ and H_0 in other case.

Here the value $K(\delta) = K_n(\delta)$ may be computed from (11) and (13), on the basis of equality

$$\mathbf{P}_0(\|f_n\|^2 > K(\delta)) \approx \delta.$$

The notation $\mathbf{P}_0(A)$, A is an arbitrary event, denotes as usually the probability of A calculated under assumption of *absence* of signal f .

In detail

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{Q^2(n) \tau(N(n))}{1 - \gamma(n)} \left[1 + C(\gamma) \zeta \frac{(\log \log n)^{2/r}}{n}\right] > K(\delta)\right) \approx \delta.$$

We find, solving the last equality relative $K_n(\delta)$;

$$K_n(\delta) \approx \frac{Q^2(n) \tau(N(n))}{1 - \gamma(n)} \left(1 + C(\gamma) \frac{|\log \delta|^{2/r} (\log \log n)^{2/r}}{n}\right). \quad (14)$$

The advantage of offered here test versus, e.g., the tests described in [10], [11] etc. is following. Our procedure is non - parametrical and adaptive, but is still consistent and asymptotically optimal in the $L(2)$ sense.

References

1. Golubev G., Nussbaum M. *Adaptive spline Estimations in the nonparametric regression Model*. Theory Probab. Appl., 1992, v. 37 N° 4, 521 - 529.
2. Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Universal adaptive estimations and confidence intervals in the non-parametrical statistics*. Electronic Publications, arXiv.mathPR/0406535 v1 25 Jun 2004.
3. Ostrovsky E., Zelikov Yu. *Adaptive Optimal Nonparametric Regression and Density Estimation based on Fourier - Legendre Expansion*. Electronic Publication, arXiv:0706.0881v1 [math.ST] 6 Jun 2007.
4. Donoho D. *Wedgelets: nearly minimax estimation of edges*. Annals of of Statist., 1999, v. 27 b. 3 pp. 859 - 897.
5. Donoho D. *Unconditional bases are optimal bases for data compression and for statistical estimation*. Applied Comput. Harmon. Anal., 1996, v. 3 pp. 100 - 115.
6. Keipers R., Niederreiter W. *The uniform Distribution of the Sequences*. Kluver Verlag, Dordrecht, 1983.
7. Dai F., Ditzian Z., Tikhonov S. *Sharp Jackson inequalities*. Journal of Approximation Theory. 2007, doi:10.1016/j.jat.2007, 04.015.
8. Noullez A., Vergassola M. *A fast Legendre transform algorithm and Applications to the adhesion model*. Journal of Scientific Computing, Springer, 1994, v. 9, N°3, p. 259 - 281.

9. Frigo M. and Jonson S.G. *The Design and Implementation of FFTW3*. 2005, Proceedings of the IEEE, **93**, p. 216 - 231.
10. Rolke W.A., Lopez A.M. *A Test for the Presence of a Signal*. Electronic Publication, arXiv:0807.2149v.1 [physics. data - an] 14 Jul 2008.
11. Rolke W.A., Lopez A.M., Conrad J. *Limits and Confidence Intervals in the Presence of Nuisance Parameters*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods, A., 551/2 - 3, 2005, pp. 493 - 503, physics/0403059.
12. De Vore R.A., Lorentz G.G. *Constructive Approximation*. Springer Verlag, 1993.
13. Ostrovsky E. *Exponential estimations for random fields*. Publishing House OINPE, Moscow - Obninsk, 1999 (in Russian).
14. Ibragimov I.A., Khasminsky R.Z. *On the quality boundaries of nonparametric estimation of regression*. Theory Probab. Appl., 1982, v. 21 b. 1, 81 - 94.
15. Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Exponential Bounds in the Law of Iterated Logarithm for Martingales*. Electronic publications, arXiv:0801.2125v1 [math.PR] 14 Jan 2008.